



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,508	10/19/2005	Andre De Lima Castro	01952.0064.00000	5105
22852	7590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			WILLIAMS, MARK A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
				_

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,508	DE LIMA CASTRO, ANDRE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark A. Williams	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reaction of the community	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 September 2006.					
	This action is non-final.					
, <del>_</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und		•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 6, 7 is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>6, 7</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docun</li> </ol>	nents have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docun</li></ol>	nents have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6)  Other:	—,				

Art Unit: 3676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, "a greater part of the elongated strip" is generally not understood in the context of the invention. It is not clear what structure constitutes a greater part.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farago, US Patent 3,588,961. A tie-type security seal comprising a single part

Art Unit: 3676

body 1 of thermoplastic material (as conventional in the art) with a locking cavity in the form of a passage through the body, a metallic insert element (see figures 1-10) embedded into the plastic and fixed in said cavity and formed with at least one through-opening aligned with said passage and also a locking tooth and an elongated strip 11 of thermoplastic material integral at one end with the body and having another free end for insertion through said passage in a first direction where it is locked by said tooth to prevent removal from the cavity in the opposite direction (as conventional in the art), the body and the strip may have been manufactured by a plastic injection operation (this product-by-process limitation only requires the examiner to provide the resulting product—MPEP 2113, the device could have been formed by such a process), wherein the metallic insert element may have been incorporated into said body of thermoplastic material during the injection operation. As best understood, a greater part of strip has a cross section substantially identical to that of the strip as claimed. The metallic insert element is a substantially flat part stamped with a main region cut out in its center to define a plurality of teeth bent outwardly from the plane of the pad (points being spread apart flexibly), defining an opening between the ends of the teeth for passage of the strip, and, on each side and in the same plane as the main region, a lateral extension at the outer edges of the insert 5 of which the end

Art Unit: 3676

coincides with the side of said body. Each lateral extension having the form of a two-pronged fork

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farago. Although the free end portion of the strip having a smaller cross section than the remaining part of the strip to facilitate the initial introduction through said passage is not explicitly taught by Farago, the examiner serves Official Notice that such structure is very common in the art as a means of providing ease of insertion. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have included in the design such a modification, as a means of providing ease of insertion of the free end of the strip, as commonly known in the art.

Art Unit: 3676

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6 and 7 are would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the

Application/Control Number: 10/534,508 Page 6

Art Unit: 3676

advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

Mark Williams

571-272-1000.

BRIAN E. GLESSNER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7